

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 29 June 2015 11:40  
**To:** REMIT Public Consultations  
**Subject:** RE: The response of GAZ-SYSTEM S.A. to ACER public consultation on the Common Schema for the Disclosure of Inside Information

Dear [REDACTED],

please find below our response:

- 2. Regarding the second part; we would need some clarification. Our understanding is that you are proposing to include an identifier field which will make it possible to indicate which of the two formats is used. Could you confirm? If this is what you're proposing, we would ask for further explanation on the potential added benefit.*

No, we didn't propose to include an identifier field which make it possible to indicate which of the two formats is used. GAZ-SYSTEM's IT Department would like to know more details on the RSS or ATOM feed. Can you provide us the accepted values for update ID: it should be only number of updated UMM or it have to be URL of this updated UMM?

Yours faithfully,

Corporate Communications Office  
GAZ-SYSTEM S.A.

---

**From:** REMIT Public Consultations [mailto:REMIT.PublicConsultations@acer.europa.eu]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 24, 2015 3:14 PM  
**To:** GAZ - SYSTEM S.A.  
**Cc:** REMIT Public Consultations  
**Subject:** RE: The response of GAZ-SYSTEM S.A. to ACER public consultation on the Common Schema for the Disclosure of Inside Information

Dear Sir/Madam,

Following to your response to the public consultation we would like to ask for clarification of point 4 in your response:

1. At the first part you state that your understanding is that market participants do not have to make both RSS and ATOM feeds available. The requirement is for one format to be used, so the comment is correct.
2. Regarding the second part; we would need some clarification. Our understanding is that you are proposing to include an identifier field which will make it possible to indicate which of the two formats is used. Could you confirm? If this is what you're proposing, we would ask for further explanation on the potential added benefit.

Thank you in advance!

[REDACTED]



\*\*\*\*\* DISCLAIMER \*\*\*\*\*

The information and views set out in this email are those of the author and do not reflect the official position of the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators, unless expressly stated otherwise in writing. This electronic message and all its annexes are intended to be read or used solely by the addressee(s). They may be confidential, privileged, or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you receive this message by mistake, please contact the sender and immediately delete the message, including its annexes, from your system; you should not copy the message, including its annexes, or disclose its contents to any other person or organisation. Any publication, reproduction, copying, distribution, dissemination, or use is prohibited without express permission. The sender cannot be held responsible for any change in the message, including its annexes, which would result from its electronic transmission.

---

**From:** GAZ - SYSTEM S.A. [<mailto:> ]

**Sent:** 22 June 2015 11:07

**To:** REMIT Public Consultations

**Subject:** The response of GAZ-SYSTEM S.A. to ACER public consultation on the Common Schema for the Disclosure of Inside Information

Dear Sirs,

Please find below attached our response to public consultation on the Common Schema for the Disclosure of Inside Information.

Yours faithfully,

Corporate Communications Office  
GAZ-SYSTEM S.A.

---

**From:** ACER Press [<mailto:info=acer.europa.eu@mail201.atl81.rsgsv.net>] **On Behalf Of** ACER Press

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 27, 2015 5:09 PM

**Subject:** ACER launches a Public Consultation on REMIT

## ACER Infoflash 27 May 2015

### **ACER launches a Public Consultation on a common schema for the disclosure of inside information under REMIT**

ACER launches today a public consultation on a common schema for the disclosure of inside information. For that purpose, the Agency is publishing a consultation paper providing recommendations on a common minimum standard necessary to ensure fair, effective and transparent communications of information that is likely to significantly affect wholesale energy prices. The deadline for providing comments and responses to the consultation's questions is 26 June 2015, 12.00 noon, Central European Time. Find out more [here](#).

### **Energy infrastructure projects in the EU: How to ensure they benefit all Member States?**

Within a series of actions carried out by ACER to bring its work closer to the EU citizens, the Agency has recently published a [Citizens' Summary](#) on Cross-Border Cost-Allocation (CBCA) decisions on Projects of Common Interest (PCI) for Energy Infrastructure in the EU. The exact allocation of the costs between the Member States involved in a certain PCI is generally decided by the relevant National Regulatory Authorities (NRAs). If they do not reach an agreement within six months, the case is conferred to ACER to decide. The Agency has so far decided on the Gas interconnection Poland Lithuania (GIPL) and on the Lithuanian part of the electricity interconnection between Lithuania and Poland (LitPol).

ACER  
Agency for the  
Cooperation  
of Energy Regulators

Trg republike 3  
1000 Ljubljana  
Slovenia

tel. +386 (0) 8 205 34 00  
fax +386 (0) 8 205 34 13

© Copyright 2013 by ACER. All rights reserved.



This email was sent to [REDACTED]

[why did I get this?](#) [unsubscribe from this list](#) [update subscription preferences](#)

Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators · Trg republike 3 · Ljubljana 1000 · Slovenia